

SUPER BOWL LX BETTING GUIDE

VSIN PRO



MAYE DAY OR MAYDAY?

UNDERDOG PATRIOTS LOOK FOR SUPER BOWL LX UPSET OF SEAHAWKS

PREVIEW INCLUDES

- SUPER BOWL LX GAME PREVIEW
- PROP BETTING STRATEGY
- STEVE MAKINEN'S GAME SIMULATION AND TRENDS

SPORTS RADIO
THE GAMBLER
1230 • 98.7 HD2

SUPER BOWL LX PREVIEW

PREVIEW BY ZACH COHEN



VS

Whether it's public money or sharp money, our VSiN betting splits pages show that Seattle is the popular side in this one — and that's true at both DraftKings Sportsbook and Circa Sports. All year long, the NFC was viewed as the NFL's stronger conference, and it was the Seahawks that came out on top of it. Seattle beat a good Los Angeles Rams team along the way, and that victory came right after an absolute stomping of a solid San Francisco 49ers squad. Meanwhile, people have been talking all year about how soft New England's schedule was, and the Patriots aren't getting much love for wins over the Los Angeles Chargers, Houston Texans, and Denver Broncos in the postseason.

It isn't hard to see why people prefer the Seahawks. Mike Macdonald's team was No. 1 in the NFL in EPA per play allowed (-0.113). The Seahawks had the best run-stopping unit in the league (-0.206 Rush EPA per play allowed) and a top-five passing defense (-0.065 Dropback EPA per play allowed) during the regular season. Seattle was also seventh in Pass Rush Win Rate (41%). That type of balanced defense gives you a chance in any game that you play, and it's not like the offense was terrible. The running game wasn't all that efficient throughout the year, but Kenneth Walker III has been a monster in the playoffs. He has rushed for 178 yards with four touchdowns while also catching seven passes for 78 yards. The Seahawks also had the eighth-best Dropback EPA per play (0.137) during the regular season, with Sam Darnold lighting it up in offensive coordinator Clint Kubiak's offense — a system that has seemingly earned him the Las Vegas Raiders head coaching job.

Seattle also happens to have the sexier wins when looking at the season-long resume. In addition to wins over the 49ers and Rams in the playoffs — and the regular season — the Seahawks also beat the Pittsburgh Steelers, Jacksonville Jaguars, Houston Texans, and Carolina Panthers. Those were all playoff teams this year, and all of the wins came by at least eight points.

It does, however, feel like everyone is selling the Patriots short. Say what you want about the quality of opponents, but Mike Vrabel's team knocked down the teams that were lined up. The team also finished No. 2 in the NFL in Net EPA per play when looking at rbsdm's Team Tiers page, sitting right behind the Rams in Tier 1. Meanwhile, the Seahawks were ranked fourth in the league, but they were a tier below — sitting at the top of Tier 2.

The Patriots were also the best offensive team in the league during the regular season, finishing first in EPA per play (0.155). Drake Maye, who led the league in EPA+CPOE Composite (0.223), was a big part of that, as New England had the best passing game in the NFL (0.308 Dropback EPA per play). Maye hasn't quite lived up to his regular-season numbers in the playoffs, but he has also played some elite defenses, in ugly-weather games. Don't be surprised if he looks a lot sharper in Santa Clara, where the conditions are expected to be calm.

New England's defense is also a little underrated. While the Patriots were just 16th in the NFL in Rush EPA per play (-0.077) during the regular season, this was more like a top-five group defending the run with Milton Williams healthy. That's significant with him being healthy right now, as they should be able to stop Walker's hot streak on the ground. New England is also pretty solid against the pass, where Christian Gonzalez, Carlton Davis III, and Marcus Jones make up a decent trio of corners. If the Pats can just get some pressure on Darnold, there's always a chance he gets rattled. While he played great against Los Angeles last round, that's a defense that was fading down the stretch. Vrabel's defensive unit is a little more trustworthy.

Realistically, the Seahawks should feel good about their chances in this one, but nobody should be surprised if the Patriots find a way. The gap between these two isn't as large as the media is making it out to be. New England has a great coaching staff and a more-talented quarterback. That should count for something in a game that should lean a little lower in scoring.

Taking the points is just somewhat attractive here, especially with the Patriots being 2-0 both straight-up and against the spread as underdogs of 3.5 to 9.5 under Vrabel. They're also 5-1 SU and 4-2 ATS when facing teams with winning records.

As far as the total goes, everyone is going to want to bet the Over in the final game of the year. However, the number has dropped since it opened at 46.5, and this is a meeting between two defensive-minded teams. The Under is probably the way to go.



45

PLAYER MATCHUPS

RECEIVING YARDS
6171 COOPER KUPP (SEA)RECEIVING YARDS
6172 KAYSHON BOUTTE (NE)RECEIVING YARDS
6173 RASHID SHAHEED (SEA)RECEIVING YARDS
6174 MACK HOLLINS (NE)RUSHING YARDS
6175 GEORGE HOLANI (SEA)RUSHING YARDS
6176 TREVEYON HENDERSON (NE)RECEIVING YARDS
6177 KENNETH WALKER (SEA)RECEIVING YARDS
6178 RHAMONTE STEPHISON (NE)RECEIVING YARDS
6179 COOPER KUPP (SEA)RECEIVING YARDS
6180 STEFON DIGGS (NE)

SUPER BOWL PROPS

FOUR BETTING STRATEGIES TO
HELP YOU CASH YOUR TICKETS

By Adam Burke

Prop bets and parlay wagers seemingly increase in volume every single day and you can bet that there are going to be hundreds of millions of them across the sports betting world for Super Bowl LX.

In some ways, it makes the Super Bowl a little less special, as bettors in the old days used to line up at the Westgate Superbook or Leroy's or some other shop in Las Vegas awaiting the release of the prop lines. Of course, that's when betting was restricted to Sin City and faraway places. It's pretty much a free-for-all now and that includes prop offerings on pretty much every regular season game, let alone playoff matchups.

The ease and accessibility of betting props, whether that means searching for them yourself with single bets, throwing in a bet on a pre-made parlay at your sportsbook of choice, or putting together your own parlay, means that bettors don't really need a crash course in what they mean or how to find them.

However, there are some prop betting strategies that are relevant throughout the year and especially for the Super Bowl. Here are some things to keep in mind.

SHOP AROUND FOR THE BEST PRICE

I simply cannot stress this one enough. Each sportsbook has its own needs and its own clientele, so there will be lines and odds differences across the board. You want to get the best odds and the best line that you can find and that means shopping around.

The example I always like to give is that you like a certain brand of jeans. They are \$34.99 at one place, \$29.99 at another place, and \$39.99 at another. Where are you most likely to buy them? The place with the best price, right?

Sure, sometimes convenience comes into play and if you are already shopping at the place where they are \$34.99, you'll just shrug off the five-dollar difference. With sports betting, however, the ease and convenience of apps, and also the promotions and bonuses for new depositors at Super Bowl time, why not just take the extra few minutes and sign up with a new sportsbook if it has the best line on a prop bet you like?

Nearly all of the most common sportsbooks allow you to see their lines in the app or on the website before you ever sign up and deposit. Obvious differences like Over 84.5 Rushing Yards vs. Over 81.5 Rushing Yards are one thing, and you will find those. These are pretty easy and pretty self-explanatory. Why bet Over 84.5 Rushing Yards when you can bet Over 81.5 Rushing Yards?

But, it is also important to understand the price difference in the vig. At -110, your break-even percentage is 52.38%, so you need to hit that percentage in order to break even on your wagers given the "Bet \$11 to win \$10" vig. If you bump that to -115, your break-even percentage increases to 53.49% given the "Bet \$11.50 to win \$10" vig. The difference in just five cents of vig requires you to win an extra bet out of every 100 just to break even. At -120, your break-even percentage becomes 54.55%. At -125, it becomes 55.56% and so on.

That doesn't sound like a lot, but over the long-term picture regarding your bankroll as a sports bettor, it will add up. Shopping around for the best lines AND the best odds is critical in all settings, but the Super Bowl is a prime example of the reasons why.

LEVERAGE ODDS BOOSTS AND BONUSES

The sports betting industry is extremely competitive and that can sometimes provide a really good opportunity for the consumer. Sportsbooks will offer new sign-up bonuses or reload bonuses at this time of the year because they want to write as much action as possible. It is the single biggest betting event of the year and the best chance to impress shareholders, stakeholders, traders, bosses, and everybody with a piece of the pie.

As a result, sportsbooks will come up with some creative offers or alternative pricing. There may be free bets or match bets on players to score a touchdown or some kind of bet insurance to mitigate risk and loss. In the above example about that pair of jeans, if you see a coupon that saves you some money or maybe includes a buy one, get one offer, are you likely to use it?

That doesn't mean that all odds boosts, bonuses, and offers are going to be +EV, or positive expected value. However, it does mean that some of those opportunities may be out there and you can put yourself into a better spot than most by utilizing some of those Super Bowl sweeteners like Bonus Bets and Profit Boosts, especially if you are willing to line shop as mentioned above.

AVOID OVEREXPOSURE / THINK ABOUT "GAME STATE"

I like to group these two concepts together because they go hand-in-hand. You might have really strong opinions about the side that you like in Super Bowl LX. You've bet the spread. You've bet the moneyline. You've bet the Over QB Passing Yards and Passing Touchdowns. You've bet the Over WR1 Passing Yards and Passing Touchdowns.

But, what if you're wrong? What if your handicap on the game falls flat? What if the QB gets hurt in the first quarter? That would be a prime example of overexposure. You are tying yourself to one outcome in that game and you can have a really, really bad evening if that outcome doesn't come to fruition. Remember Super Bowl LIII between the Patriots and Rams? That game had a total of 55.5 and ended 13-3. It can happen.

Too many bettors make the mistake of getting tunnel vision and load up on player props for the side that they like. Instead, think about the "Game State". If you are right and the team that you like plays really well and wins comfortably, they may not be throwing the football in the second half. That wide receiver may just be out there running cardio or blocking for the run game. We've certainly seen the team with the lead get very conservative in the second half of the most important game of the season.

So, I'd encourage you to think about what the Game State might be. If it does end up being a blowout and you are right, then the other team will spend the second half throwing the football. Maybe you'd want to bet their QB Over Passing Attempts or their WR1 Over on Receptions. Similarly, your team may be running the football, which would bring the RB1 Over on Rush Attempts into the mix.

That's not to say that you don't want some potential correlation in your props and game expectations. If you are really convinced it will be either a high-scoring or a low-scoring game, your prop portfolio may want to reflect that. You just don't want a really high risk of ruin by having the wrong handicap.

The beauty of a large prop catalog is to be able to diversify your wagers, giving you the chance to win as many as possible based on the Game State that you expect to see.

MINUSES (-) OVER PLUSES (+)

In the era of Same-Game Parlays (SGP) and sports betting lottery tickets, bettors are all looking for the easiest way to bet a little to win a lot. Plus-money prop bets can look very enticing, but you have to think about the probability and the possibility that those things occur.

Overtime is the biggest one. Most Super Bowls end in one-score games. Some of them don't, but the thought certainly has to cross your mind that the Super Bowl, with allegedly the two best teams, could go to overtime.

There are 272 regular season NFL games. A total of 14 went to overtime. That is 5.1% of the games. If we include the 12 postseason games to this point, two of which went to OT, 5.9% of the games this season have been tied at the end of the fourth quarter.

A line of +1400 on 'Yes' for Overtime (DraftKings as of Wednesday Jan. 28) carries an implied probability of 6.67%, which is not in line with the rate of overtime games and the 2 of 59 (3.4%) we've had in Super Bowls, though it is a little bit better of a price than last year's +1100 given the size of the spread. There is a reason why the 'No' (-5000) is priced much higher than that. Yes, it happened two years ago. That doesn't mean history will repeat itself.

Generally speaking, it is better to have a "minus price" than a "plus price" in props. Only one guy can score the first touchdown. Only one guy can be the MVP, and it is rarely a non-quarterback. By the very nature of props, you are more likely to add to your bankroll betting more to win less (minus) than less to win more (plus).

The plus prices are less indicative of the true odds of an event happening, whereas the minus prices are usually a much better barometer. This is also true of parlaying a bunch of props. Don't take money out of your wallet by going 6-2 on props that would have netted a positive return as straight bets, but net absolutely nothing on a parlay.

And, yes, I'm fun at parties. Sort of. If you want to spray some fun-money bets, it's the Super Bowl. I get it. But, I do encourage you to look long and hard at your prop bets and consider these strategies and others you find helpful for the Big Game.

STEVE MAKINEN'S SUPER BOWL LX BOX SCORE

I started by projecting the scores, time of possession, and yardage statistics for the Super Bowl LX contest overall, and then expanded into the individual players and their projected output based upon these overall numbers.

For enhanced accuracy, I have only utilized the last 10 meaningful games for each team, making the assumption that the performances in that span were more indicative of the teams' themselves and the quality of opponents which they faced. I've made appropriate adjustments for where the teams had or played against teams with injured star players.

PROJECTED SCORE

Let's start by looking at some overall projections for the game, using my EFFECTIVE STATS method as the basis for calculation. This method takes into account the team's performance against weighted averages of its opponents. In other words, STRENGTH OF SCHEDULE is built in. Using my forecast model, here are the Effective Offensive Points projections for SB LX:

| Effective Offensive Points | Effective Defensive Points |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| New England: 25.27 | New England: 10.17 |
| Seattle: 35.61 | Seattle: 10.96 |

I, along with a few of my statistical colleagues in the industry, typically estimate that about 2/3 of the "scoring output" is dictated by the offenses. Using that ratio, the score projection would be:

Last 10 Games Effective Scoring Forecast

New England: 20.5
Seattle: 27.13

The total points of 47.63 is slightly higher than the actual total of 45.5, and the Seahawks would be expected to be a bit over a 6.5-point favorite. This obviously exceeds the actual line being offered in which Seattle is a 4.5-point favorite. Incidentally, this model has projected the favorite to win outright three times in five years.

PROJECTED BOX SCORE

New England

| | Comp (DK) | Yards (DK) |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Passing | | |
| Drake Maye | 16.7 (20) | 186.4 (230) |
| Rushing | Att (DK) | Yards (DK) |
| Rhamondre Stevenson | 13.9 (14.5) | 78.8 (50.5) |
| Drake Maye | 7.1 (6.5) | 42.9 (37.5) |
| TreVeyon Henderson | 8.3 (4.5) | 25.8 (17.5) |
| Receiving | Rec (DK) | Yards (DK) |
| Stefon Diggs | 3.7 (4.5) | 38 (43.5) |
| Hunter Henry | 3.0 (3.5) | 36.5 (39.5) |
| Kayshon Boutte | 2.0 (2.5) | 30.4 (31.5) |
| Mack Hollins | 1.9 (1.5) | 25.1 (13.5) |
| Rhamondre Stevenson | 1.9 (2.5) | 18.7 (20.5) |
| DeMario Douglas | 1.2 (1.5) | 17.6 (10.5) |
| Austin Hooper | 1.4 (1.5) | 11.9 (9.5) |
| TreVeyon Henderson | 1.2 (0.5) | 6.4 (2.5) |

PROJECTED TEAM STATS



Team Stats

| | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Yards Per Play* | 5.49 | 5.02 |
| Total Yards | 314.0 | 280.6 |
| Total Plays | 57.2 | 55.9 |
| Rushing (Yards) | 148.3 | 110.5 |
| Rushing Attempts | 29.3 | 28.4 |
| Yards Per Rush | 5.06 | 3.89 |
| Passing (Yards)^ | 231.8 | 155.3 |
| Comp-Att | 16.7-27.9 | 16.9-27.5 |
| Yards Per Attempt | 6.03 | 6.34 |

Time of Possession

30:14 29:46

*Yards per play adjusted for 2/3 offense, 1/3 defense formula

^ New England: 168.2 / 6.03 (NE 186.4 total passing yards minus yardage of 2.8 projected sacks against)
Seattle: 174.4 / 6.34 (SEA 174.4 total passing yards minus yardage of 1.9 projected sacks against)

Seattle

| | Comp (DK) | Yards (DK) |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Passing | | |
| Sam Darnold | 16.9 (21) | 186.8 (230) |
| Rushing | Att (DK) | Yards (DK) |
| Kenneth Walker | 19.1 (19.5) | 84.8 (76.5) |
| George Holani | 3.6 (N/A) | 13.2 (10.5) |
| Sam Darnold | 2.7 (2.5) | 6.2 (6.5) |
| A.J. Barner | 1.8 (N/A) | 1.8 (N/A) |
| Rashid Shaheed | 1.2 (N/A) | 4.5 (4.5) |
| Receiving | Rec (DK) | Yards (DK) |
| Jaxon Smith-Njigba | 6.6 (7.5) | 80.5 (95.5) |
| Cooper Kupp | 2.8 (2.5) | 29.9 (31.5) |
| A.J. Barner | 2.7 (2.5) | 24.5 (24.5) |
| Kenneth Walker | 2.0 (2.5) | 18.5 (24.5) |
| Rashid Shaheed | 1.2 (1.5) | 17.0 (23.5) |
| Jake Bobo | 0.7 (N/A) | 10.1 (0.5) |
| George Holani | 0.9 (1.5) | 6.4 (10.5) |

**(DK) = DRAFTKINGS ODDS
AS OF FEBRUARY 1**

VSiN Director of Analytics Steve Makinen simulated Super Bowl LX and here is the projected score, team stats, and player stats to help with your bets on the Big Game. Head to VSiN.com for a more detailed explanation of Steve's projections and methodology.



MY TOP 10 HISTORICAL SUPER BOWL BETTING TRENDS

By Steve Makinen

If you've been with us at any point since 2017, you probably recall my full Super Bowl Betting Trends & Systems article. For the purposes of our 2026 Super Bowl Betting Guide, I've put together a more succinct piece, highlighting my Top 10 Super Bowl Trends and Systems, and how they might factor in this year's matchup, Patriots vs. Seahawks. These are ordered in how important I think they will be regarding handicapping this year's game. These trends cover about every option on the line/total/teaser board. At the time of this writing, as far as the line/total was concerned, we had Seattle -4.5/45.5 according to DraftKings.

TREND #1 - THE TEAM THAT IS THE BETTER PLAYOFF SEED IS JUST 2-17-2 ATS (10.5%) IN THE LAST 29 SUPER BOWL GAMES!

- **STEVE'S THOUGHTS:** I have to convince bettors that this trend is for real. It is almost too insane to believe, especially if you harken back to the early '90s, when the better team always seemed to win by spectacular margins. Similarly, the team with the better playoff seed is just 2-17-2 ATS (10.5%) in the last 29 Super Bowl games, with equal-seeded matchups eight times in that span. It seems that this huge game has become not so much a confirmation of a body of work, but rather a single game decided by which team executes better on that particular day. These premier trends will be in play as No. 1 seed Seattle takes on No. 2 seed New England.

TREND #2 - OVER THE PAST 24 YEARS, UNDERDOGS OWN AN 18-6 ATS (75%) EDGE IN THE SUPER BOWL, INCLUDING 14-4 ATS IN THE LAST 18.

- **STEVE'S THOUGHTS:** This follows with trend No. 1 above, as it seems that the motivation of being an underdog in the season's biggest game on TV's biggest stage is a solid motivator. Philadelphia added a convincing win to this angle last year. Can New England pull off another shocker?

TREND #3 - THE OUTRIGHT WINNER IS 49-7-3 ATS (87.5%) IN THE 59 PREVIOUS SUPER BOWLS.

- **STEVE'S THOUGHTS:** This 87.5% mark is the highest of any playoff round. Interestingly, one of those ATS losses did occur as recently as 2022, when Cincinnati became the first underdog to cover a point spread without winning on a Super Bowl line of less than six points. The general thought is that champions typically leave little doubt in this game. Consider this if you have any concerns about laying points with Seattle, or taking a moneyline wager on the underdog Patriots.

TREND #4 - WITH SIX-POINT TEASERS IN THE LAST 24 SUPER BOWLS, THERE HAS BEEN A HUGE SEPARATION, AS FAVORITES ARE JUST 13-11 (54.2%) WHILE UNDERDOGS ARE 21-3 (87.5%).

- **STEVE'S THOUGHTS:** An 87.5% teaser trend moving a line just six points is nothing to take lightly. Consider this as you craft your wagers for the 2026 game. An underdog six-point teaser would put New England at +10.5, likely a very attractive wager for many bettors. Combine that with an Under 51.5 or Over 38.5, and you could have the makings for a winning bet. Both total options have hit at over a two-thirds rate in Super Bowls.

TreVeyon Henderson

TREND #5 - TEAMS THAT HOLD AN EDGE IN AT LEAST THREE OF FOUR KEY STATISTICAL CATEGORIES ARE 41-6 SU AND 37-9-1 ATS (80.4%).

- **STEVE'S THOUGHTS:** Over the years, I have found that there is a certain "recipe" for success in the Super Bowl, and it stems from the four key stat categories: Time of Possession, Rushing Yardage, Yards per Pass Attempt, and Turnover Differential. The Eagles swept the four categories last year in routing Kansas City. Can you see either of this year's teams having the edge in all three? For those of you looking to project this type of information for 2026, turnovers can be hard to project, although the amount of pressure each team's defense puts on an opposing quarterback can prove to be a good indicator. The other stats should be relatively predictable for any advanced handicapper or service regularly using sophisticated statistical models for simulation. I did so in another forecast article, which gave New England the TOP & rushing-yardage edge and Seattle the YPA edge.

TREND #6 - NEW ENGLAND IS ON AN 11-4 ATS SURGE VERSUS SOLID RUSHING DEFENSES ALLOWING LESS THAN 3.9 YPR

- **STEVE'S THOUGHTS:** With a second-year QB running its offense, regardless of the success Drake Maye has enjoyed this year, you have to think that New England is going to put a lot of emphasis on the ability to run the football. Seattle has a staunch run defense, ranked No. 1 in the NFL in yards per rush allowed, but recent history shows the Patriots have fared well against such teams.

TREND #7 - ONLY 19 SUPER BOWL GAMES IN HISTORY HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY LESS THAN A TOUCHDOWN AND 10 OF THEM HAVE BEEN IN THE LAST 18 YEARS.

- **STEVE'S THOUGHTS:** Setting aside last year's Philadelphia blowout win over Kansas City, we truly are in an era of highly competitive Super Bowl games. Let's face it, no one likes to be embarrassed on the big stage. Coaches preach preparation and execution in the two weeks leading up to the game. Only rare physical mismatches result in blowouts at this stage.

TREND #8 - TEAMS THAT ALLOWED FEWER POINTS PER GAME DURING THE REGULAR SEASON HAVE GONE 9-1 SU AND 8-2 ATS (80%) IN THE LAST 10 SUPER BOWL GAMES, INCLUDING PHILADELPHIA A YEAR AGO. SEATTLE HOLDS THIS SLIGHT EDGE BY 1.6 PPG.

- **STEVE'S THOUGHTS:** This trend includes Philadelphia from a year ago. If you do happen to check out my full Super Bowl Betting Trends & Systems piece, you will see that the importance of defensive statistics has far outweighed that of offensive numbers in terms of how it relates to recent Super Bowl success. Seattle allowed fewer points than New England in the regular season, 17.2 PPG to 18.8.

TREND #9 - TEAMS THAT GENERATED MORE YARDAGE OVERALL OFFENSIVELY IN THE REGULAR SEASON OWN NO EDGE WHEN IT COMES TO SUPER BOWL SUCCESS, GOING 3-11 SU AND 2-12 ATS (14.3%) IN THE LAST 14!

- **STEVE'S THOUGHTS:** I just mentioned that it is important to boast strong defensive scoring numbers going into recent Super Bowl games. It's been quite the opposite for prolific offensive numbers. Recently, the old saying "defense wins championships" has been fruitful. Remember, defensive coordinators have two full weeks to get ready for the opposing offenses, as well as the talent to hold them down. New England holds this "edge" moderately in 2026, 379.4 YPG to 351.4.

TREND #10 - IN THE LAST 19 SUPER BOWL GAMES IN WHICH BETTORS MOVED THE LINE OFF OF OPENING TOTALS, THAT MOVE IS 12-7 (63.2%).

- **STEVE'S THOUGHTS:** This trend includes a stretch of 7-1 on total moves, so perhaps this could be an emerging strategy to follow for recreational bettors. The line move winning percentages were much worse. Be sure to follow that action in the hours leading up to kickoff if you tend to believe in this type of analysis. For what it's worth, there was a downward move from 46.5 to 45.5 on the total since opening on Sunday night.



SUPER BOWL BETTING HISTORY

| SB# (Date) | FL | FT | NFC TEAM | Score | AFC Team | Score | SU | ATS | Total |
|--------------|------------|------|---------------|-------|-------------|-------|---------------|---------------|-------|
| 1 (1/15/67) | GB -14 | | Green Bay | 35 | Kansas City | 10 | Green Bay | Green Bay | N/A |
| 2 (1/14/68) | GB -13.5 | 43 | Green Bay | 33 | Oakland | 14 | Green Bay | Green Bay | Over |
| 3 (1/12/69) | BAL -18 | 40 | Baltimore | 7 | NY Jets | 16 | NY Jets | NY Jets | Under |
| 4 (1/11/70) | MIN -12 | 39 | Minnesota | 7 | Kansas City | 23 | Kansas City | Kansas City | Under |
| 5 (1/17/71) | DAL -2.5 | 36 | Dallas | 13 | Baltimore | 16 | Baltimore | Baltimore | Under |
| 6 (1/16/72) | DAL -6 | 34 | Dallas | 24 | Miami | 3 | Dallas | Dallas | Under |
| 7 (1/14/73) | WAS -1.5 | 33 | Washington | 7 | Miami | 14 | Miami | Miami | Under |
| 8 (1/13/74) | MIA -6.5 | 33 | Minnesota | 7 | Miami | 24 | Miami | Miami | Under |
| 9 (1/12/75) | PIT -3 | 33 | Minnesota | 6 | Pittsburgh | 16 | Pittsburgh | Pittsburgh | Under |
| 10 (1/18/76) | PITT -6.5 | 36 | Dallas | 17 | Pittsburgh | 21 | Pittsburgh | Dallas | Over |
| 11 (1/9/77) | OAK -4.5 | 38 | Minnesota | 14 | Oakland | 32 | Oakland | Oakland | Over |
| 12 (1/15/78) | DAL -6 | 39 | Dallas | 27 | Denver | 10 | Dallas | Dallas | Under |
| 13 (1/21/79) | PITT -4 | 37 | Dallas | 31 | Pittsburgh | 35 | Pittsburgh | TIE | Over |
| 14 (1/20/80) | PITT -10.5 | 36 | LA Rams | 19 | Pittsburgh | 31 | Pittsburgh | Pittsburgh | Over |
| 15 (1/25/81) | PHI -3 | 37.5 | Philadelphia | 10 | Oakland | 27 | Oakland | Oakland | Under |
| 16 (1/24/82) | PK EM' | 48 | San Francisco | 26 | Cincinnati | 21 | San Francisco | San Francisco | Under |
| 17 (1/30/83) | MIA -3 | 36.5 | Washington | 27 | Miami | 17 | Washington | Washington | Over |
| 18 (1/22/84) | WAS -3 | 48 | Washington | 9 | LA Raiders | 38 | LA Raiders | LA Raiders | Under |
| 19 (1/20/85) | SF -3 | 53 | San Francisco | 38 | Miami | 16 | San Francisco | San Francisco | Over |
| 20 (1/26/86) | CHI -10 | 37 | Chicago | 46 | New England | 10 | Chicago | Chicago | Over |
| 21 (1/25/87) | NYG -9.5 | 40.5 | NY Giants | 39 | Denver | 20 | NY Giants | NY Giants | Over |
| 22 (1/31/88) | DEN -3.5 | 47 | Washington | 42 | Denver | 10 | Washington | Washington | Over |
| 23 (1/22/89) | SF -7 | 47.5 | San Francisco | 20 | Cincinnati | 16 | San Francisco | Cincinnati | Under |
| 24 (1/28/90) | SF -12.5 | 47 | San Francisco | 55 | Denver | 10 | San Francisco | San Francisco | Over |
| 25 (1/27/91) | BUF -7 | 39 | NY Giants | 20 | Buffalo | 19 | NY Giants | NY Giants | TIE |
| 26 (1/26/92) | WAS -7 | 49 | Washington | 37 | Buffalo | 24 | Washington | Washington | Over |
| 27 (1/31/93) | DAL -6 | 45 | Dallas | 52 | Buffalo | 17 | Dallas | Dallas | Over |
| 28 (1/30/94) | DAL -10.5 | 50.5 | Dallas | 30 | Buffalo | 13 | Dallas | Dallas | Under |
| 29 (1/29/95) | SF -18 | 54 | San Francisco | 49 | San Diego | 26 | San Francisco | San Francisco | Over |
| 30 (1/28/96) | DAL -13.5 | 52 | Dallas | 27 | Pittsburgh | 17 | Dallas | Pittsburgh | Under |

SUPER BOWL BETTING HISTORY

| SB# (Date) | FL | FT | NFC TEAM | Score | AFC Team | Score | SU | ATS | Total |
|--------------|----------|------|---------------|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| 31 (1/26/97) | GB -14 | 49 | Green Bay | 35 | New England | 21 | Green Bay | TIE | Over |
| 32 (1/25/98) | GB -11.5 | 49 | Green Bay | 24 | Denver | 31 | Denver | Denver | Over |
| 33 (1/31/99) | DEN -7.5 | 51.5 | Atlanta | 19 | Denver | 34 | Denver | Denver | Over |
| 34 (1/30/00) | STL -7 | 48 | St Louis | 23 | Tennessee | 16 | St Louis | TIE | Under |
| 35 (1/28/01) | BAL -3 | 32.5 | NY Giants | 7 | Baltimore | 34 | Baltimore | Baltimore | Over |
| 36 (2/3/02) | STL -14 | 52.5 | St Louis | 17 | New England | 20 | New England | New England | Under |
| 37 (1/26/03) | OAK -3.5 | 44 | Tampa Bay | 48 | Oakland | 21 | Tampa Bay | Tampa Bay | Over |
| 38 (2/1/04) | NE -7 | 38 | Carolina | 29 | New England | 32 | New England | Carolina | Over |
| 39 (2/6/05) | NE -7 | 47 | Philadelphia | 21 | New England | 24 | New England | Philadelphia | Under |
| 40 (2/5/06) | PIT -4 | 46.5 | Seattle | 10 | Pittsburgh | 21 | Pittsburgh | Pittsburgh | Under |
| 41 (2/4/07) | IND -6.5 | 47 | Chicago | 17 | Indianapolis | 29 | Indianapolis | Indianapolis | Under |
| 42 (2/3/08) | NE -12.5 | 54 | NY Giants | 17 | New England | 14 | NY Giants | NY Giants | Under |
| 43 (2/1/09) | PIT -6.5 | 46.5 | Arizona | 23 | Pittsburgh | 27 | Pittsburgh | Arizona | Over |
| 44 (2/7/10) | IND -4.5 | 56.5 | New Orleans | 31 | Indianapolis | 17 | New Orleans | New Orleans | Under |
| 45 (2/6/11) | GB -3 | 44.5 | Green Bay | 31 | Pittsburgh | 25 | Green Bay | Green Bay | Over |
| 46 (2/5/12) | NE -3 | 53 | NY Giants | 21 | New England | 17 | NY Giants | NY Giants | Under |
| 47 (2/3/13) | SF -4.5 | 47.5 | San Francisco | 31 | Baltimore | 34 | Baltimore | Baltimore | Over |
| 48 (2/2/14) | DEN -2 | 47 | Seattle | 43 | Denver | 8 | Seattle | Seattle | Over |
| 49 (2/1/15) | SEA -1 | 47 | Seattle | 24 | New England | 28 | New England | New England | Over |
| 50 (2/7/16) | CAR -4.5 | 43.5 | Carolina | 10 | Denver | 24 | Denver | Denver | Under |
| 51 (2/5/17) | NE -3 | 57 | Atlanta | 28 | New England | 34 | New England | New England | Over |
| 52 (2/4/18) | NE -4.5 | 49 | Philadelphia | 41 | New England | 33 | Philadelphia | Philadelphia | Over |
| 53 (2/3/19) | NE -2 | 55.5 | LA Rams | 3 | New England | 13 | New England | New England | Under |
| 54 (2/4/20) | KC -1 | 53 | San Francisco | 20 | Kansas City | 31 | Kansas City | Kansas City | Under |
| 55 (2/7/21) | KC -3 | 55.5 | Tampa Bay | 31 | Kansas City | 9 | Tampa Bay | Tampa Bay | Under |
| 56 (2/13/22) | LAR -4.5 | 48.5 | LA Rams | 23 | Cincinnati | 20 | LA Rams | Cincinnati | Under |
| 57 (2/12/23) | PHI -2.5 | 51.5 | Philadelphia | 35 | Kansas City | 38 | Kansas City | Kansas City | Over |
| 58 (2/11/24) | SF -1.5 | 47.5 | San Francisco | 22 | Kansas City | 25 | Kansas City | Kansas City | Under |
| 59 (2/11/24) | KC -1.5 | 48.5 | Philadelphia | 40 | Kansas City | 22 | Philadelphia | Philadelphia | Over |

TAKE VSIN WITH YOU WHEREVER YOU ARE!

SiriusXM
CHANNEL 158

 YouTubeTV



Roku TV 



**GO TO VSIN.COM FOR THE FULL
PROGRAMMING SCHEDULE**